# Increasing the Efficiency of Syndrome Coding for PUFs with Helper Data Compression

Matthias Hiller and Georg Sigl Institute for Security in Information Technology Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany {matthias.hiller, sigl}@tum.de

*Abstract*—Physical Unclonable Functions (PUFs) provide secure cryptographic keys for resource constrained embedded systems without secure storage. A PUF measures internal manufacturing variations to create a unique, but noisy secret inside a device. Syndrome coding schemes create and store helper data about the structure of a specific PUF to correct errors within subsequent PUF measurements and generate a reliable key.

This helper data can contain redundancy. We analyze existing schemes and show that data compression can be applied to decrease the size of the helper data of existing implementations.

We introduce compressed Differential Sequence Coding (DSC), which is the most efficient syndrome coding scheme known to date for a popular reference scenario.

Adding helper data compression to the DSC algorithm leads to an overall decrease of 68% in helper data size compared to other algorithms in a reference scenario. This is achieved without increasing the number of PUF bits and a minimal increase in logic size.

*Index Terms*—Physical Unclonable Functions (PUFs), Syndrome Coding, Data Compression, Differential Sequence Coding (DSC), Fuzzy Extractor, Run-Length Encoding (RLE), FPGA.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Secure cryptographic keys for low prices are a prerequisite to protect simple embedded systems from theft of sensitive data and intellectual property. Silicon Physical Unclonable Functions (PUFs) enable secret key generation in standard CMOS technology for devices without secure storage. Similar to reading out a biometric pattern, PUFs generate a unique and relatively stable secret during runtime inside a chip. A PUF evaluates manufacturing variations within the circuit to create a unique signal within every device. A PUF output measures the physical properties inside the device and maps the measurement result into a PUF response, which is typically a digital signal. Since physical properties depend on environmental conditions and measurements always contain noise, PUF responses of the same device are highly correlated, but not fully identical.

Therefore, syndrome coding schemes such as Code-Offset Syndrome Coding [1], Index-Based Syndrome Coding (IBS) [2] or Differential Sequence Coding (DSC) [3] enable error correction for PUFs to increase the reliability of the output key. A syndrome coding scheme creates helper data from a PUF response and an external secret. The helper data permits to restore either the initial PUF response or a stable secret that can be directly used as key. The helper data must not leak

978-3-9815370-2-4/DATE14/ © 2014 EDAA

any substantial information about the resulting key so that it can be placed in unsecured external storage. In this work, we will present a concept to improve the efficiency of syndrome coding schemes.

Typically, syndrome coding is concatenated with errorcorrecting codes (ECCs) to further reduce the output bit error probability. We focus on the helper data representation in this work, so ECC properties are not addressed in detail. A fuzzy extractor is a special case of syndrome coding, and optionally ECC. Instead of storing and reproducing a user-defined key, a fuzzy extractor generates a device-dependent key that cannot be chosen arbitrarily.

Syndrome coding schemes usually use non-uniform helper data. This motivated us to investigate for the first time how data compression increases the efficiency of syndrome coding schemes. Information Theory offers a full toolbox of powerful data compression algorithms for different purposes [4]. If the elements in a sequence are not independent or the letters of the alphabet of the elements are not distributed uniformly, a data compression, or more general, a source coding algorithm can be applied to remove redundancy in the given sequence to represent the sequence by a shorter sequence. A decoder restores the initial sequence.

*Our contributions:* We show that helper data compression can be applied to several syndrome coding schemes. We use DSC, a very recent syndrome coding scheme, to give a sample implementation of helper data compression and discuss the efficiency. Applying Run-Length Encoding (RLE) [5], a data compression algorithm, to the DSC helper data reduces its size significantly with low implementation overhead. We study different parameter sets to provide an efficient solution for a real world scenario.

The FPGA implementation demonstrates the efficiency in practice. Our implementation uses 32% of the helper data of the best published non-DSC work. The hardware effort to decompress the helper data adds only 4% more slices.

*Outline:* Section II introduces related work. Section III demonstrates that helper data compression can be widely applied. We give a summary over DSC, a recent approach to store helper data, in Section IV. In Section V, RLE is presented. The efficiency of RLE is addressed in Section VI and the yield is analyzed in Section VII. We present and evaluate our FPGA implementation in Section VIII.

## II. RELATED WORK

Quantitative comparisons of ASICs with popular PUFs are presented in [6]. A comprehensive introduction to the topic can be found in [7].

Code-Offset Syndrome Coding by Dodis *et al.* [1] XORs the PUF response with a random codeword of an ECC and stores the result as helper data. We will compare our results to the code-offset implementations of Bösch *et al.* [8], as well as Maes *et al.* [9]. Other implementations were presented by Maes *et al.* [10] and van der Leest *et al.* [11].

Index-Based Syndrome Coding (IBS) by Yu and Devadas [2] uses pointers for information hiding that refer to PUF outputs with specific properties. Complementary IBS by Hiller *et al.* [12] applied an iterative IBS encoding several times on the same set of PUF outputs to increase the reliability. In [13], Yu *et al.* compared previous work with focus on security and reliability.

In this work, we will design our implementation to fulfill the requirements defined by Guajardo *et al.* [14] with the PUF output distribution by Maes *et al.* [15]. A 128 bit key with key error probability  $< 10^{-6}$  is derived from an SRAM PUF with average bit error probability 15%. During helper data generation, the SRAM cells are read out several times to estimate the reliability. During key reproduction the SRAM is read out once. Therefore, our results can be directly compared to the reference implementations in [9], [12], and partly [8].

# III. MOTIVATION

Several implementations of syndrome coding schemes contain different parts of helper data: (nearly) uniform parts and also non-uniform parts. For PUFs with a high uniqueness, we can assume that the code-offset bits in [8] and [9], the IBS pointers in [2] and [12], and the inversion bits in DSC [3] as uniform and independent. Therefore, they cannot be compressed.

In contrast, e.g. the reliability information in [9] and [12] depends on the non-uniform reliability distribution of the PUF outputs. Therefore, the size can be reduced with data compression algorithms. DSC distance pointers are not uniformly distributed either. In [9], 9 helper data bits contain the code-offset and 8 bit reliability information. Every helper data block in [12] combines a 4 bit pointer and 5 bit reliability information can be found in [15], [16]. For DSC, every code-sequence bit is mapped to a 7 bit distance value and one inversion bit.

We can calculate the entropy of the helper data easily since all distributions are known. The results in Table I show that existing fuzzy extractor implementations have relatively large amounts of non-uniform helper data that could be compressed. We are aiming for a low hardware complexity so the compression algorithm has to be chosen carefully according to distribution.

For the DSC implementation, the non-uniform part of the helper data has an entropy of 858 bit but occupies 1,904 bit of storage. In theory, this part could be compressed by 55%. In this work, we will show that we can achieve a compression of

Scheme	Code-Offset [9]	C-IBS [12]	DSC
Uniform			
Helper Data	1,536	4,096	272
Non-Uniform			
Helper Data	12,228	5,120	1,904
Entropy of the Non-			
Uniform Helper Data	10,929	2,959	858
Max Compression of Non-			
Uniform Helper Data	11%	42%	55%
Max Overall			
Compression	9.5%	23%	48%

Table I: Helper data structure and maximum compression rates in previous fuzzy extractor implementations

49% of the non-uniform part in practice with a low hardware overhead. In the end, this leads to an overall decrease of 43% of the entire helper data. This shows that the theoretical idea of helper data compression can lead to profound benefits in practice.

## IV. DIFFERENTIAL SEQUENCE CODING

Differential Sequence Coding (DSC) is a new syndrome coding scheme, introduced in [3]. It ensures that no unreliable PUF outputs will contribute to the key generation process. The reliability of specific PUF outputs varies due to the stochastic manufacturing process. We are able to predict the statistical properties of a long sequence of PUF outputs but it is hard to predict the properties of a small block. Instead of splitting a PUF response into fixed blocks, DSC assigns a variable number of PUF outputs to every code sequence bit.

In a two stage scenario, the helper data generation contains an ECC encoder and a DSC encoder. We concatenate a DSC encoder and a convolutional code [17]. A random input sequence is encoded to a code sequence  $c^k$  with length k in the convolutional encoder. The DSC algorithm encodes one code sequence bit  $c_i$  ( $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ ) in every iteration and executes the same loop multiple times. DSC indexes only reliable PUF outputs with an error probability smaller than a given maximum bit error probability  $p_{max}$  with  $0 < p_{max} < 0.5$ .

The DSC encoder searches the PUF outputs  $\mathbb{R}^n$  sequentially and the offset counter o keeps track of the absolute position of the last indexed PUF output. In iteration i, the DSC encoder searches the PUF outputs  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for the first PUF output  $\mathbb{R}_j$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  that fulfills

$$\Pr[r_{j+o} = 1] \ge 1 - p_{max} \lor \Pr[r_{j+o} = 0] \ge 1 - p_{max} \quad (1)$$

The helper data  $w_i$  contains a distance pointer  $u_i$  that points from the last indexed PUF output to the current indexed PUF output and an inversion bit  $v_i$ . The inversion bit marks if the expected PUF response and the code sequence bit are identical or inverse.

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Pr[r_{j+o} = c_i] \ge 1 - p_{max} \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Figure 1 shows a small example for DSC encoding. White boxes represent a zero and black boxes represent a one. A PUF output R with  $\Pr[r = 0] \ge 1 - p_{max}$  is also denoted with a

white box. Accordingly, a black box indicates  $\Pr[r = 1] \ge 1 - p_{max}$  and gray boxed stand for unreliable PUF outputs with  $p_{max} < \Pr[r = 1] < 1 - p_{max}$ .



Figure 1: Example for DSC encoding

The code sequence  $c^4 = (0, 1, 1, 0)$  and the PUF outputs  $R^{16}$  are encoded to four helper data blocks  $w^4 = (u, v)^4$ .  $R_2$  is the first reliable PUF output. We count the unreliable PUF outputs between two reliable ones as distance pointer, so  $u_1 = 1$ . For the first inversion bit,  $v_1 = 0$  since both boxes have the same color.  $R_3$  is the next reliable PUF output, so  $u_2 = 0$  and again  $v_2 = 0$ . After skipping three unreliable PUF outputs,  $R_7$  is indexed by  $u_3 = 3$ . Since a white box is indexed for a black code bit,  $v_3 = 1$ .  $u_4$  and  $v_4$  are computed accordingly, such that  $u^4 = (1, 0, 3, 4)$  and  $v^4 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ .

As a generalization of the example, the encoding algorithm presented in Algorithm 1 searches n PUF outputs  $R^n$  with PUF responses  $r^n$  for PUF outputs fulfilling Equation 1.

The helper data sequence  $w^k$  contains the syndrome. Every element  $w_i = (u_i, v_i), i = 1, ..., k$ , contains the distance  $u_i \in \{0, ..., 2^l - 1\}$  for a fixed  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and an inversion bit  $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

In Algorithm 1, there are two possible sources of error, for which we decide that the PUF is not usable and discard the chip: *error\_*1: the number of PUF bits meeting the required error probability smaller  $p_{max}$  in the entire PUF is too small (with error probability  $\epsilon_1$ ) or *error\_*2: the distance between any indexed PUF bits exceeds the maximum *l* bit counter value (with error probability  $\epsilon_2$ ).

For PUF outputs  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with cumulative distribution function cdf over their expectations, the probability p that a PUF output is indexed is given by

$$p = cdf(p_{max}) + 1 - cdf(1 - p_{max})$$
 (3)

Since u corresponds to the number of unsuccessful trials to find a good PUF output, the offset pointers  $u^k$  are distributed according to a geometric distribution  $P_p$  with parameter p, such that

$$P_p(u) = p(1-p)^u \tag{4}$$

We evaluated different parameter sets of DSC and convolutional codes to find an efficient combination that fulfills the required key error probability of  $10^{-6}$  in [15] for a 128 bit key using an SRAM PUF with average bit error probability of 15%. Using p = 0.264 and a (2, 1, [7]) convolutional code

Algorithm 1: DSC Encoding
o := 0 (The offset counter o tracks absolute the position
within $\mathbb{R}^n$ )
for $i := 1 \rightarrow k$ do
Search for one PUF output for each code sequence bit.
for $j:=1 o 2^l$ do
if $o + j > n$ then
Return error_1 (Not enough PUF outputs within
the specification)
else if
$\Pr[r_{j+o} = 0] \ge 1 - p_{max} \lor \Pr[r_{j+o} = 1] \ge 1 - p_{max}$
then
$u_i := j - 1$
if $\Pr[r_{j+o} = c_i] \ge \Pr[r_{j+o} = c_i \oplus 1]$ then
$v_i := 0$ (No inversion)
else
$v_i := 1$ (Inversion)
end if
o := o + j
Break
else if $j = 2^{t}$ then
Return <i>error_2</i> ( <i>Counter overflow</i> )
end if
end for
end for

[17] led to the best results. In this case,  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 < 10^{-3}$  for n/k = 4.5. Therefore, we will focus on an efficient representation for p = 0.264 in the following. See [3] for a detailed reliability and security analysis of DSC.

By default, the same storage size is assigned to all helper data elements  $u_i$  such that every element can store the highest specified pointer that only occurs with a small probability. So, the maximum pointer defines the size for all elements. However, almost all elements store smaller pointers that could be represented in a smaller memory.

In this work, we will tackle this open problem by applying a data compression algorithm that assigns variable size representations to the index pointers.

#### V. RUN-LENGTH ENCODING

Golomb's Run-Length Encoding (RLE) [5] is a source coding algorithm designed for sequences with geometric probability distribution. An improved version was presented by Gallager and van Voorhis [18] in 1975.

The helper data distribution given in Equation 4 is geometric, so RLE can be applied to our problem. Note that [5] treats runs of successful draws ended by an unsuccessful one and in our case, the successful draw ends a run.

RLE represents any integer number u by a series of ones followed by a small number of a finite alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  with elements  $a_j \in \mathcal{A}, j = 0, ..., m - 1$  and  $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ . For the run-length part, m determines how many unsuccessful trials are represented by every 1 and a gives the number in the remaining  $u \mod m$  trials. As straight-forward approach, the binary representation of j is chosen as  $a_j$ .

The algorithm can be interpreted as Euclidean division of u by m with different representations of the quotient and remainder. The quotient is represented in a series of ones, followed by a zero, and the remainder in the finite alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, the compressed representation q(u) of u is given by

$$q(u) = 1^{\left\lfloor \frac{u}{m} \right\rfloor} 0 a_{(u \mod m)} \tag{5}$$

According to [18], optimal codes can be constructed for an integer m chosen in dependency of  $p \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$(1-p)^m + (1-p)^{m+1} \le 1 < (1-p)^{m-1} + (1-p)^m$$
 (6)

As an example, Table II shows RLE representations of small integers for m = 1, m = 2 and m = 4. For different parameters m, the size of the fixed length part and the overall length l show large variations. Therefore, the selection of m will be addressed later in this work.

integer	uncoded	m = 1	m = 2	m = 4
0	0000	0	00	000
1	0001	10	01	001
2	0010	110	100	010
3	0011	1110	101	011
4	0100	11110	1100	1000
5	0101	111110	1101	1001
6	0110	1111110	11100	1010
7	0111	11111110	11101	1011
8	1000	111111110	111100	11000
9	1001	1111111110	111101	11001
10	1010	1111111111110	1111100	11010

Table II: Run-length encoding with m = 1, m = 2 and m = 4 according to [5]

The length l(u), i.e. the number of bits to represent u is given by the individual length of the run-length part and the fixed length of the finite alphabet.

$$l(u) = \left\lfloor \frac{u}{m} \right\rfloor + 1 + \log_2 m \tag{7}$$

Therefore, we try to minimize the expectation E(l), where l(u) is distributed with the geometric probability distribution  $P_p(u)$  given in Equation 4.

#### VI. HELPER DATA COMPRESSION

Goal of this section is to identify a suitable parameter set to increase the efficiency of DSC with helper data compression. The pointer lengths in RLE differ depending on the input and the code parameters. This section shows compressions for different parameter sets to identify the optimal parameter for our problem. For increasing p in geometric distributions,

higher integer numbers u are selected less likely, so the average amount of information per symbol decreases <sup>1</sup>.



Figure 2: Average RLE encoded pointer sizes and entropy

In Figure 2, p is plotted on a logarithmic x-axis and the average length is shown on the linear y-axis. The entropy (solid cyan line) is the lower bound for every lossless compression, so we try to approach this limit as closely as possible. The other plots show the average pointer sizes for different RLE parameters.

For low m, the fixed part is rather small whereas the RLE part increases rapidly with increasing source entropy. In contrast, high m have a large fixed part and only slowly increasing RLE parts.

For p = 0.264, m = 2 approaches the entropy closest. With an average pointer size of 3.18, the encoded representation is only 0.03 bit higher as the entropy.

Varying m gives very low overheads for various parameters p such that RLE enables near optimal compression for DSC independently of the parameter p.

#### VII. YIELD ANALYSIS

The last section demonstrated that in average at least 3.18 bit have to be assigned to each pointer and also the inversion bit has to be stored. For an embedded system, we have to assign a specific amount of memory for the helper data pointers to generate one single key.

We aim for a yield greater 99.9%, thus tolerating helper data overflow errors with a probability of  $\epsilon_2 \leq 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ .

Figure 3 shows the empirical helper data size distributions  $S_m(l)$  with RLE parameter m for helper data size l and  $10^7$  simulated samples. The distribution for m = 2 has the lowest mean value.

Figure 4 demonstrates that the size of the helper data can be reduced significantly compared to the 2,176 bits of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For very low p, the expression  $(1-p)^u$  only decreases very slowly with increasing u. Therefore, many u have similar probabilities which results in a high entropy. For high p, small u are chosen with a high probability and  $(1-p)^u$  decreases much faster. This leads to a low entropy.



Figure 3: Helper data length distribution functions based on  $10^7$  simulated PUFs with DSC encoding with p = 0.264, RLE helper data compression and a (2, 1, [7]) convolutional code



Figure 4: Overflow error probabilities for different fixed helper data sizes and  $10^7$  simulated PUFs with DSC encoding with p = 0.264, helper data compression with m = 2 and a (2, 1, [7]) convolutional code

the uncompressed version without reducing the yield. For uncompressed helper data, dedicated storage is assigned to every pointer, so no overflow can be tolerated for any pointer.

Here, several small pointers can compensate one extremely large one. The compensation permits to assign a smaller storage overhead, because now only a large number of large pointers can cause an overflow instead of one single large pointer.

At least 1150 bits should be assigned for a reasonable yield. However,  $\epsilon_2(l)$  decreases rapidly around 1200 helper data bits. The error probability decreases by several orders of magnitude for spending 5% to 10% more helper data bits. As a result,  $\epsilon_2(l) \leq 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$  can be achieved by l = 1224.

# VIII. IMPLEMENTATION

The DSC hardware implementation with helper data compression is similar to a DSC implementation without helper data compression with major modifications in the DSC decoder.



Figure 5: DSC reproduction with helper data compression

The new DSCcmpr DEC module provides the joint functionality of the basic DSC decoder and the RLE decoder. The new module permits to remove the large counters in the DSC module. Through this synergy, the number of registers decreased and also required logic decreased slightly. A small serial parallel converter (SE PA) is added to the helper data path to convert the serially incoming helper data into chunks of 8 for the SPONGENT hash function.

Table III compares our new hardware implementation with previous work. The exact DSC cycle counts depend on the pointers in a specific device. To get a pessimistic estimate, both DSC cycle counts were obtained by setting half of the distance pointers to 4 and the other half to 5 so that all PUF response bits are read in. The DSC helper data is compressed by 43% with a minimal hardware overhead. Thus, our new DSC fuzzy extractor with helper data compression requires only 9% to 32% of the helper data of the comparable non-DSC approaches.

Loading in the data bitwise instead of bytewise increases the overhead for the communication at the input side. This leads to the 10% increase in execution time.

However, the implementation is still highly efficient. Our compressed DSC approach leads to the lowest PUF and helper data sizes, which are very important measures. The reference implementation by Bösch *et al.* [8] requires about  $3 \times$  more PUF outputs, helper data and slices with a slight benefit in execution time. The fast soft decision implementations by Maes *et al.* [9] and Hiller *et al.* [12] require about one third of the execution time and are about 10% smaller. However, they require more PUF outputs and more substancial,  $6.5 \times$  and over  $11 \times$  more helper data.

Tables IV presents detailed synthesis results for a Xilinx Spartan-3E xc3s1200e-5fg320 legacy FPGA to provide numbers that are comparable to the reference implementations. The Spartan-6 (xc6s1x45-3fgg484) implementation in Table V shows the complexity on an entry level state-of-the-art FPGA and can be used as reference point for future implementations.

Designing DSC in simple loops for low complexity and choosing a very specialized data compression algorithm pay

	PUF Output Bits	Helper Data Bits	Slices	Block RAM Bits	Clock Cycles
Code-Offset Golay [8]	3,696	3,824	$\geq 907$	0	> 24,024
Code-Offset RM-GMC [9]	1,536	13,952	237	32,768	10,298
C-IBS RM-GMC [12]	2,304	9,216	250	0	-
DSC Conv. Code [3]	1,224	2,176	262	11,264	30,846
Compressed DSC Conv. Code.	1,224	1,224	272	11,264	33,925

Table III: FPGA implementations of reproduction procedures of the DSC and reference implementations synthesized for Xilinx Spartan 3E FPGAs

	DSCcmpr Dec	Viterbi Dec	SPONGENT	XOR Mod	Entire Module
Slices Total	17	91	78	61	272
Registers	9	32	109	43	219
Logic LUTs	26	154	146	109	410
Block RAM Bits	_	11,264	_	_	11,264

Table IV: Synthesis results of the DSC reproduction procedure implementation for Xilinx Spartan-3E FPGA

	DSCcmpr Dec	Viterbi Dec	SPONGENT	XOR Mod	Entire Module
Slices Total	7	50	15	24	92
Registers	9	32	21	36	121
Logic LUTs	18	115	34	67	237
8B Block Rams	—	4	11	—	15

Table V: Synthesis results of the DSC reproduction procedure implementation for Xilinx Spartan-6 FPGA

off in the implementation. Concatenating DSC with an area optimized Viterbi decoder results in a compact total implementation size.

#### IX. CONCLUSIONS

Helper data compression is a new concept to increase the efficiency of popular syndrome coding schemes.

For the example of an improved coding scheme called compressed DSC, we have shown that the helper data size can be reduced with RLE data compression. We change the fixed storage assignment for each helper data element to the size that is actually required for every single element.

In the benchmark SRAM scenario, RLE decreases the size of a single helper data element from 8 to in average 4.18. Our compressed DSC implementation is the most efficient FPGA implementation for this scenario known to date. The overall helper data size was lowered by 43% compared to the uncompressed DSC helper data. Further, our implementation requires 32% of the helper data of the best comparable non-DSC candidate.

Acknowledgements: This work was partly funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research in the project SIBASE through grant number 01S13020A.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. Dodis, L. Reyzin, and A. Smith, "Fuzzy extractors: How to generate strong keys from biometrics and other noisy data," in *EUROCRYPT*, ser. LNCS, vol. 3027. Springer, 2004, pp. 523–540.
- [2] M.-D. Yu and S. Devadas, "Secure and robust error correction for physical unclonable functions," *IEEE D&T*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 48–65, 2010.
- [3] M. Hiller, M. Weiner, L. Rodrigues Lima, M. Birkner, and G. Sigl, "Breaking through fixed PUF block limitations with differential sequence coding and convolutional codes," in *TrustED*, 2013, pp. 43–54.

- [4] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- [5] S. W. Golomb, "Run-length encodings (corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Th.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 399–401, 1966.
- [6] S. Katzenbeisser, U. Kocabas, V. Rozic, A.-R. Sadeghi, I. Verbauwhede, and C. Wachsmann, "PUFs: Myth, fact or busted? a security evaluation of physically unclonable functions(PUFs) cast in silicon," in *CHES*, ser. LNCS, vol. 7428. Springer, 2012, pp. 283–301.
- [7] R. Maes, "Physically unclonable functions: Constructions, properties and applications," Dissertation, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 2012.
- [8] C. Bösch, J. Guajardo, A.-R. Sadeghi, J. Shokrollahi, and P. Tuyls, "Efficient helper data key extractor on FPGAs," in *CHES*, ser. LNCS, vol. 5154. Springer, 2008, pp. 181–197.
- [9] R. Maes, P. Tuyls, and I. Verbauwhede, "Low-overhead implementation of a soft decision helper data algorithm for SRAM PUFs," in *CHES*. Springer, 2009, pp. 332–347.
- [10] R. Maes, A. Van Herrewege, and I. Verbauwhede, "PUFKY: A fully functional PUF-based cryptographic key generator," in *CHES*, ser. LNCS, vol. 7428. Springer, 2012, pp. 302–319.
- [11] V. van der Leest, B. Preneel, and E. van der Sluis, "Soft decision error correction for compact memory-based PUFs using a single enrollment," in *CHES*, ser. LNCS, vol. 7428. Springer, 2012, pp. 268–282.
- [12] M. Hiller, D. Merli, F. Stumpf, and G. Sigl, "Complementary IBS: Application specific error correction for PUFs," in *IEEE HOST*, 2012, pp. 1–6.
- [13] M.-D. Yu, D. M'Raihi, S. Devadas, and I. Verbauwhede, "Security and reliability properties of syndrome coding techniques used in PUF key generation," in *GOMACTech*, 2013, pp. 1–4.
- [14] J. Guajardo, S. S. Kumar, G. J. Schrijen, and P. Tuyls, "FPGA intrinsic PUFs and their use for IP protection," in *CHES*, ser. LNCS, vol. 4727. Springer, 2007, pp. 63–80.
- [15] R. Maes, P. Tuyls, and I. Verbauwhede, "A soft decision helper data algorithm for SRAM PUFs," in *IEEE ISIT*, 2009, pp. 2101–2105.
- [16] M. Hiller, F. De Santis, D. Merli, and G. Sigl, "Reliability bound and channel capacity of IBS-based fuzzy embedders," in *NASA/ESA AHS*, 2012, pp. 213–220.
- [17] M. Bossert, *Channel Coding for Telecommunications*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [18] R. G. Gallager and D. C. Van Voorhis, "Optimal source codes for geometrically distributed integer alphabets (corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Th.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 228–230, 1975.